

Non-specific Back Pain Guideline

Changes as of March 2021	2
Background	2
Key points about back pain	2
Virtual care and back pain	
Virtual our or and back pain	
Assessment	
Pain and function assessment (PEG Tool)	3
History and physical exam	
Diagnosis of non-specific back pain	
Red flag warning signs requiring immediate or urgent evaluation	4
Complexity stratification	
Back Pain Risk Stratification Tool (STarT Back)	5
Treatment	
Recommended interventions by patient complexity	
Recommended non-pharmacologic interventions	7
Non-pharmacologic interventions that are not recommended	8
Pharmacologic Options	0
Preferred medications: acetaminophen, NSAIDs	
Adjunct therapy for chronic pain: duloxetine	
Special considerations: opioids	
Medications that are not recommended	
Follow-up/Monitoring	10
Evidonoo Summony	4.4
Evidence Summary	
Guideline Development Process and Team	
Guideline Developinent F100ess and Team	

Last guideline approval: March 2021

Guidelines are systematically developed statements to assist patients and providers in choosing appropriate health care for specific clinical conditions. While guidelines are useful aids to assist providers in determining appropriate practices for many patients with specific clinical problems or prevention issues, guidelines are not meant to replace the clinical judgment of the individual provider or establish a standard of care. The recommendations contained in the guidelines may not be appropriate for use in all circumstances. The inclusion of a recommendation in a guideline does not imply coverage. A decision to adopt any particular recommendation must be made by the provider in light of the circumstances presented by the individual patient.

Changes as of March 2021

This updated 2021 guideline has only minor differences from the previous (2017) version. The evidence review identified no newly published high-quality studies that would change our current recommendations.

Background

Back pain is a common condition that has significant impacts on patients' function and quality of life. Clinical approaches to back pain vary considerably among providers. This guideline is intended to help primary care teams do an effective initial assessment of back pain, select appropriate interventions to maximize the patient's function and quality of life, and minimize the use of unnecessary and potentially harmful interventions.

Key points about back pain

- Most people experience back pain in their lifetime.
- Most back pain is "non-specific," with no specific identifiable spinal cause.
- Back pain caused by serious or urgent ("red flag") conditions is infrequent.
- For most patients with back pain, the condition will improve within a few days or weeks. In the
 absence of red flag conditions requiring immediate referral and treatment, the initial approach to
 back pain should include evaluation with the STarT Back, followed by reassurance,
 encouragement to stay active, emphasis on restoring function, and simple analgesics as needed.
- Psychosocial factors are stronger predictors of prognosis than clinical factors.
- Biomedical interventions commonly used to evaluate and treat back pain—such as imaging, medications, and epidural steroid injections—have been found to be of limited value and effectiveness.
- Non-pharmacologic interventions can improve both pain and function (see page 7).
- Acetaminophen is the preferred drug for initial treatment because of its stronger safety profile.
- Opioids are rarely indicated for the treatment of back pain. Opioid prescriptions for acute back pain, if made, should be *limited to 3 days* and followed by a check-back with the patient.

The treatment and follow-up recommendations in this guideline apply to patients with **non-specific back pain.** Back pain caused by degenerative disk disease, herniated ("slipped") disk, spinal stenosis, or other specific conditions is outside the scope of this guideline.

Virtual care and back pain

For patients with acute or subacute low back pain, there is promising evidence that virtual care in combination with usual care may be more effective than usual care alone for decreasing pain and disability and improving physical function. Virtual encounters—by phone, chat, and video—may be particularly suitable for pain assessment and counseling interventions. Evidence suggests that video physical therapy visits for low back pain assessment produce results comparable to in-person visits in defining range of motion, pain symptoms, and mobility limitations.

KPWA has several virtual care tools/systems to ensure that patients seeking care for back pain receive consistent assessment, guidance, and follow-up, regardless of where they enter the delivery system. These include Back Pain E-visits, Physical Therapy video visits, and Spine Clinical RN triage phone visits.

Assessment

To differentiate non-specific back pain from more serious conditions, the assessment should begin with a history and physical exam to evaluate the patient for "red flag" symptoms (listed in Table 1, following

page). If red flag symptoms are present, patients should be immediately referred to an appropriate specialist for further diagnosis and management. If the patient has no red flag symptoms, proceed with the STarT Back Tool to further assess the patient's psychosocial and physical symptoms and establish the patient's level of complexity.

Pain and function assessment

To assess patients' pain and function, use the Pain intensity, interference with Enjoyment of life, and interference with General activity (PEG) Tool. This tool is available in KP HealthConnect as the SmartPhrase .pegscore, and as a documentation flowsheet, review flowsheet, and secure message. It is also available in hard copy.

PEG Tool

1.	1. What number best describes your pain on average in the past week?									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
No	pain									Pain as bad as you can imagine
What number best describes how, during the past week, pain has interfered with your enjoyment of life?										
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Do	Does not interfere							Completely interferes		
3. What number best describes how, during the past week, pain has interfered with your general activity?										
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
·						Completely interferes				

History and physical exam

Discuss elements of the patient's history that might suggest a specific cause, including:

- 1. This pain episode
- 2. Physical activity before and during this pain episode, and factors that exacerbate or alleviate the pain
- 3. Previous pain episodes

Assess the patient for the presence and severity of neurologic deficits.

Diagnosis of non-specific back pain

Based on history and physical exam, and after ruling out red-flag conditions (such as cancer, infection, or cauda equina syndrome), the vast majority of back pain cases can be diagnosed as **non-specific**, i.e., with no specific identifiable spinal cause.

A note about imaging

In general, imaging (X-ray, MRI) should **not** be considered in the first 6 weeks of a back pain episode unless red flag symptoms are present.

Red flag warning signs requiring immediate or urgent evaluation

Table 1. Red flag warning signs requiring immediate or urgent evaluation				
Possible diagnosis Red flag symptoms				
Cauda equina syndrome	 Saddle anesthesia Motor deficit at multiple levels Urinary retention Fecal incontinence 			
Significant or progressive neurological deficits	 Progressive motor weakness Severe or incapacitating back or leg pain (e.g., requiring hospitalization, precluding walking, or significantly limiting activities of daily living) 			
Cancer	History of cancer with new onset low back pain Unexplained weight loss			
Vertebral infection	Fever IV drug use Recent infection			
Vertebral compression fracture or fracture due to acute injury	 History of osteoporosis Use of corticosteroids Older age			
Inflammatory back pain	Morning stiffness lasting longer than 30 minutes (especially upon rising) in patient under age 40			

Complexity stratification

Stratification assesses the likelihood that a patient's non-specific back pain will become a high-intensity health problem by accounting for psychosocial factors—such as anxiety—as well as physical factors.

The recommended tool for stratifying patients with back pain is the Keele STarT Back Screening Tool, a 9-item questionnaire. The STarT Back may be filled out by patients directly as an attachment to an outgoing secure message prior to the appointment, or entered into a KP HealthConnect documentation flowsheet by the medical assistant during patient rooming.

Using the STarT Back, patients are stratified into one of three categories: low complexity, medium complexity, or high complexity.

Complexity levels are not synonymous with pain severity or chronicity—for example, a patient with anxiety might be categorized as high-complexity despite having relatively mild pain, while a patient who has severe pain but is confident that it will go away might be at medium complexity—and they should not be the sole factor in determining appropriate interventions. Instead, complexity levels are most useful for:

- Establishing a common language for communication between clinicians and patients
- Identifying conditions that might otherwise be missed (e.g., depression, anxiety, substance abuse)
- Incorporating the patient's psychosocial needs into the care plan

Back Pain Risk Stratification Tool (STarT Back)

This tool is available in KP HealthConnect as a documentation flowsheet, review flowsheet, and secure message. It is also available in hard copy.

STarT Back Over the last 2 week	S			Disagree 0	Agree 1	
1. My back pain has						
2. I have had pain in						
3. I have only walke	ed short distances b	pecause of my back μ	oain			
In the last 2 weeks, I have dressed more slowly than usual because of back pain						
5. It's not really safe active						
6. Worrying thought						
7. I feel that my back pain is terrible and it's never going to get any better						
8. In general I have not enjoyed all the things I used to enjoy						
9. Overall, how bothersome has your back pain been in the last 2 weeks?						
Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very much	Extremely	,	
0	0	0	1	1		

The STarT Back Screening Tool development was funded by Arthritis Research UK. Copyright © 2007 Keele University. All rights reserved. Reproduced with permission.

STarT Back scoring

Scoring of the STarT Back Tool takes into account two scores: the total score as well as the sub score (sum of the points from questions 5–9 only).

Complexity level	Total score	Sub score (Q 5–9)
	(Q 1–9)	(4 5-3)
LOW	3 or less	_
MEDIUM	4 or more	3 or less
HIGH		4 or more

Low complexity = minimal physical and psychosocial symptoms

Medium complexity = moderate to severe physical symptoms with some psychosocial symptoms

High complexity = moderate to severe physical symptoms **and** prominent psychosocial symptoms, such as anxiety and fear

Treatment

The primary goal of treatment is to maximize function and quality of life, rather than to completely eliminate pain. Some ongoing or recurrent pain is normal and not indicative of a serious problem.

Avoid exposing the patient to unhelpful or possibly risky interventions.

As a general rule, an intervention in which the patient is an active participant (e.g., physical therapy, walking, stretching, yoga) rather than a passive recipient (e.g., chiropractic, massage, acupuncture) is deemed to have greater potential to promote self-efficacy and self-management skills in the long term. If using physically passive interventions, such as spinal manipulation, acupuncture, or relaxation techniques, introduce an active option within a week.

Advise the patient to build strength and endurance **gradually**, moving naturally, avoiding guarded or bracing behavior, and focusing on low-impact forms of exercise.

Advise the patient that there are many different interventions available, so patients should try numerous options until they find the one that works best for them.

Recommended intervention options by patient complexity

Low	Med	High	Intervention
Х	Х	Х	Reassurance
Х	Х	Х	Education
Х	Χ	Х	Usual activity as able, including work
Х	Χ	Х	Walking or other low-impact exercise
Х	Χ	Х	Self-care
Х	Х	Х	Superficial heat
Х	Х	Х	Acetaminophen
	Х	Х	Better Choices, Better Health
	Х	Х	Physical therapy (by video or office visit)
	Х	Х	Yoga
	Х	Х	Tai chi
	Х	Х	Relaxation therapy (meditation, progressive muscle relaxation, biofeedback, guided visualization)
	Х	Х	Acupuncture
	Х	Х	Spinal manipulation (chiropractic)
	Χ	Х	Massage
	Χ	Х	NSAIDs
	Х	Х	Duloxetine
	Х	Х	Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation/Spine Care Clinic (where available)
		Х	Psychotherapy (cognitive behavioral therapy for pain, mindfulness-based stress reduction)

Note: Self-referral for physical therapy, acupuncture, or spinal manipulation is available to most patients. Advise patients to check with Member Services to determine their individual coverage and benefits.

RECOMMENDED non-pharmacologic interventions

Please note that not all of these interventions are available or covered at KPWA; patients should contact Member Services for information on their own coverage.

- Reassurance: Address the patient's specific fears or worries (e.g., long-term disability, undiagnosed serious disease). Affirm/acknowledge the patient's pain and suffering/loss of function. Provide reassurance that for the majority of patients, back pain symptoms will resolve or significantly diminish in a few days or weeks.
- Education: Educate patients that the primary goal of treatment is to maximize function and quality of life, rather than to completely eliminate pain. Some ongoing or recurrent pain is normal and not indicative of a serious problem. Offer the shared decision-making DVD "Chronic Low Back Pain: Managing Your Pain and Your Life," use the appropriate SmartPhrase for the patient's level of complexity (.AVSBackPainLow, .AVSBackPainMed, or .AVSBackPainHigh), and/or recommend the patient explore the online content on low back pain on Healthwise.
- Usual activity as able: Encourage the patient to stay active and carry on with normal activities—including work—as much as possible while paying attention to correct posture to minimize spine loading. Advise the patient to temporarily limit or avoid specific activities known to increase mechanical stress on the spine (e.g., prolonged unsupported sitting, heavy lifting, and bending or twisting the back, especially while lifting).
- Encourage **low-impact activities such as walking**, swimming or elliptical. Walking places low stress on the spine and is available to almost everyone. Walking helps maintain endurance and function, and helps with pain. Recommend a gradual increase in exercise of no more than 10% per week. Advise patients to start slow with short walks 2–3 times per day and then increase their distance or speed every 3–5 days if there is no increase in symptoms.
- **Self-care**: Self-care includes staying physically active, getting enough sleep, connecting with friends and family, eating well, managing physical and emotional stressors, and staying involved in everyday activities. Advise the patient to identify enjoyable and meaningful activities that will increase strength, flexibility and endurance.
- Superficial heat: Heat may help to reduce low back pain. Advise the patient to apply heat for 15 to 20 minutes at a time. Moist heat (hot packs, baths) might work better than dry heat. If an electric heating pad is used, advise the patient to be careful not to fall asleep while the pad is on to avoid heat burn.
- Better Choices, Better Health® workshop

The Better Choices, Better Health web-based workshop lasts 6 weeks, but there's no set time to participate. Participants log on for activities 2 to 3 times each week at their convenience and, once the workshop is over, they can join an ongoing moderated self-management community, Healthier Living Alumni, to reinforce the skills gained during the workshop.

This workshop improves outcomes for patients with ongoing health conditions, including back pain, as participants experience fewer symptoms, get more exercise, have better medication adherence, are more active partners in their health care, and spend less time in the hospital. This program is offered to patients free of charge. Use .avsBCBH to refer patients to the program. Patients can register at https://enroll-kpwa1.selfmanage.org/. See the KPWA public website for more information.

- Physical therapy (PT): Evidence suggests improved outcomes for patients who have early PT, and that video PT visits produce results comparable to in-person visits. Strengthening and endurance exercises are the most effective for back pain, as are counseling strategies that decrease the perceived threat or fear associated with low back pain. Most patients may self-refer to PT for evaluation and treatment; advise patients to check with Member Services to determine their individual coverage and benefits.
- **Relaxation therapy:** Relaxation therapy includes meditation, progressive muscle relaxation, biofeedback, and guided visualization. Educate patients that people who are in pain tend to tense their muscles and hold their breath, potentially leading to further tension and pain, and encourage them to practice frequent relaxation.

- Yoga: Benefits both pain and function. Beginners should practice under the supervision of a qualified instructor.
- Tai chi: Tai chi is a series of movements done slowly to improve posture, balance, coordination, endurance and flexibility.
- **Acupuncture:** Evidence suggests that acupuncture may improve chronic back pain in the short term. Long-term improvement is unknown. Most patients may self-refer for acupuncture; advise patients to check with Member Services to determine their individual coverage and benefits.
- **Spinal manipulation:** Evidence suggests moderate impact on both pain and function. Most patients may self-refer for spinal manipulation; advise patients to check with Member Services to determine their individual coverage and benefits.
- Massage: There is some evidence of moderate improvement in both pain and function with massage.
- Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (PMR): Consider referral to PMR, which develops detailed treatment plans to enable individual patients to carry out their rehabilitation, including exercise and self-care. PMR can also provide a second opinion for patients with suboptimal response to a conservative treatment regimen.
- Psychotherapy: Consider a referral to Mental Health and Wellness. There is evidence for two
 specific psychotherapies: cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for pain and mindfulness-based
 stress reduction (MBSR). The evidence does not show a difference between these two
 treatments. While the evidence suggests a potential long-term (> 1 year) benefit for improving
 pain, it is mixed on improving function. CBT for pain is currently available, on a limited basis, at
 KPWA. MBSR is not currently available at KPWA, but patients may opt to attend a communitybased MBSR program.

Non-pharmacologic interventions that are NOT recommended

The following interventions are **not** recommended due to **evidence suggesting lack of benefit:**

- Bed rest
- Traction

Note about **weight loss as an intervention:** We recommend against delaying interventions for back pain until patients lose weight, as there is no evidence that the delay improves long-term outcomes. There is insufficient evidence to determine the effectiveness of weight loss in improving long-term outcomes for back pain management.

The following interventions are not recommended due to insufficient evidence to determine benefit:

- Back school
- Inferential therapy
- Low-level laser therapy
- Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation
- Lumbar corset
- Therapeutic ultrasound
- Nerve root blocks
- Intra-discal electrothermal therapy
- Prolotherapy

- Radiofrequency facet joint denervation
- Sacroiliac joint injections
- Trigger point/soft tissue injections
- Discography
- Kyphoplasty
- Percutaneous intradiscal radiofrequency thermocoagulation
- Vertebral axial decompression for back pain

Epidural steroid injections

Epidural steroid injections are **not recommended** for patients with non-specific back pain, due to a lack of evidence of the safety and effectiveness for this use. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does **not** approve of the use of corticosteroid injections into the epidural space and in April 2014 issued a warning that this practice may result in rare but serious adverse events, including loss of vision, stroke, paralysis, and death. See the FDA Safety Announcement at http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm394280.htm (accessed March 2021).

Pharmacologic Options

Consider the risks of any medication and prescribe the lowest effective dose for the shortest period of time.

Preferred medications: acetaminophen, NSAIDs

Most pharmacologic options for non-specific back pain have clear risks that outweigh any potential benefit. Monotherapy with acetaminophen or NSAIDs is recommended. The dosages given below may need to be modified based on the patient's risk factors.

Acetaminophen

Because of its stronger safety profile, acetaminophen is the preferred drug for initial treatment of non-specific back pain.

Recommended initial dose: 500-650 mg t.i.d.

Maximum daily dose: 3,000 mg

Note: In patients with liver disease or alcohol use problems, the daily dose of acetaminophen should not exceed 1,000–1,500 mg.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

For medium- or high-complexity patients, a trial of NSAIDs may be considered if acetaminophen has been ineffective. NSAIDs such as ibuprofen or naproxen should be used with caution in patients with cardiovascular morbidities, risk of gastrointestinal bleeding, or hepatic or renal dysfunction. Among the NSAIDs, meloxicam is partially selective and may have decreased risk of adverse GI effects compared to non-selective NSAIDs.

NSAID	Maximum daily dose
Ibuprofen	2,400 mg
Naproxen	1,250 mg
Meloxicam	15 mg

Adjunct therapy for chronic pain: duloxetine

Duloxetine may be considered for medium- or high-complexity patients with **chronic** pain, and is preferred to opioid medications.

Recommended initial dose: 30 mg daily for 1 week, then increase to 60 mg daily

Maximum daily dose: 60 mg

Note: Duloxetine should not be abruptly discontinued, as withdrawal effects can occur.

Special considerations: opioids

Opioids, including tramadol, are rarely indicated for the treatment of back pain. While opioids appear to be similarly efficacious to acetaminophen and NSAIDs, they have more risks and side effects, including the risk of dependence and substance abuse disorder. One study (AMDG 2015) showed that of patients who took opioids for 90 days or longer, 60 percent were still taking opioids 5 years later.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2016) recommends **against** prescribing opioids for chronic back pain. See the Chronic Opioid Therapy Safety Guideline. Low-quality evidence shows that opioids may reduce pain in patients with chronic low back pain in the short term. However, the effect is small and not clinically important, and long-term efficacy is unknown.

There is insufficient evidence to determine the effects of opioids on acute back pain. **Opioid** prescriptions for acute back pain, if made, should be *limited to 3 days* and followed by a checkback with the patient. As in all situations where opioid therapy is considered, the focus should be on improved functionality rather than complete pain relief.

Medications that are NOT recommended

- Systemic corticosteroids
- Gabapentin
- Celecoxib (non-formulary)
- Topiramate
- Pregabalin
- Skeletal muscle relaxants

Follow-up/Monitoring

- For high-complexity patients, early and frequent in-person follow-up may be appropriate. At a minimum, have patients check back at **2 weeks**.
- For low- and medium-complexity patients, follow up only as needed. Options for follow-up include a
 phone call, secure e-mail message, or office visit.
- Patients referred for spinal manipulation, acupuncture, or massage: Have patient check back after four visits with the referred specialty to demonstrate improved functionality.
- Patients should be educated to stay active to minimize the potential for relapse.

Evidence Summary

The Non-specific Back Pain Guideline was developed using an evidence-based process, including systematic literature search, critical appraisal, and evidence synthesis.

As part of our improvement process, the Kaiser Permanente Washington guideline team is working towards developing new clinical guidelines and updating the current guidelines regularly. To achieve this goal, we are adapting evidence-based recommendations from high-quality national and international external guidelines, if available and appropriate. The external guidelines should meet several quality standards to be considered for adaptation. They must: be developed by a multidisciplinary team with no or minimal conflicts of interest; be evidence-based; address a population that is reasonably similar to our population; and be transparent about the frequency of updates and the date the current version was completed.

In addition to identifying the recently published guidelines that meet the above standards, a literature search was conducted to identify studies relevant to the key questions that are not addressed by the external guidelines.

External guidelines eligible for adapting

- 2020 Agency for Health Research and Quality: Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update
- 2020 Agency for Health Research and Quality: Nonopioid Pharmacologic Treatments for Chronic Pain
- 2020 North American Spine Society: Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care
- 2017 American College of Physicians: Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians
- 2012 Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health from the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association

Key Questions

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of telehealth-based interventions in the management of non-specific low back pain?

A systematic review and meta-analysis (Dario 2017) of 11 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that included adult patients with subacute, acute or chronic low back pain was reviewed. Telehealth-based interventions were compared to usual care/minimal interventions. Length of telehealth interventions varied from 4 weeks to 1 year. Telehealth interventions alone are not more effective than minimal interventions in terms of pain and disability reduction in patients with chronic low back pain. However, in patients with acute or subacute LBP, telehealth in addition to usual care may be more effective than usual care alone for pain, disability, and physical function.

An RCT (Heapy 2017) with moderate risk of bias reported that cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) supported by health technology (interactive voice response—based CBT) is comparable to in-person CBT in patients with chronic LBP on the mid-term (9 months). Another RCT (Amorim 2019) with moderate risk of bias found that the addition of telephone-based health coaching sessions (supported by internet-based application and activity tracker) is not better (no difference) than standard of care in chronic LBP on the mid-term (6 months).

Four non-RCTs (Cottrell 2018, Palacín-Marín 2013, Peterson 2019, Truter 2013) with small sample sizes showed high agreement between in-person and telerehabilitation evaluations for a variety of outcomes.

2. What is the clinical effectiveness of antidepressants (specifically duloxetine and tricyclics) for the treatment of acute or chronic low back pain?

AHRQ 2020 has been adapted: In patients with low back pain, short-term duloxetine use resulted in a small improvement in pain severity and response, but the improvements in function and quality of life did not meet the threshold for a small improvement, based on pooled analysis of three trials (strength

of evidence: moderate). In the intermediate term, a single study of amitriptyline found no improvement in pain or function in patients with low back pain (strength of evidence: low).

3. What is the clinical effectiveness of anticonvulsants (specifically pregabalin) for the treatment of acute or chronic low back pain?

A systematic review and meta-analysis (Enke 2018) including nine RCTs was reviewed. Most studies compared anticonvulsants with placebo and had low risk of bias. Anticonvulsants included gabapentin, pregabalin and topiramate. The review showed that anticonvulsants (pregabalin, gabapentin) were ineffective for pain and disability in patients with chronic low back pain or lumbar radicular pain in the short and intermediate terms. The risk of adverse events was higher for anticonvulsants. Similarly, AHRQ 2020 indicated that in the short term, pregabalin/gabapentin resulted in large increases in blurred vision, dizziness, weight gain, and cognitive effects (confusion).

4. What is the clinical effectiveness of muscle relaxants (cyclobenzaprine, methocarbamol, tizanidine, baclofen) for non-specific acute and chronic low back pain?

No new high-quality studies comparing muscle relaxants to placebo were identified. However, two low-quality RCTs (Friedman 2018, Friedman 2019) showed that the addition of muscle relaxants (tizanidine, methocarbamol) to naproxen or ibuprofen does not confer additional efficacy for acute low back pain. Friedman 2018 randomized patients to naproxen (500 mg) + placebo or naproxen + methocarbamol (750 mg) or naproxen + orphenadrine (100 mg). The authors reported improvement in Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) scores in all groups with no serious adverse events. Friedman 2019 concluded that the addition of tizanidine to ibuprofen did not confer additional efficacy at 1 week after an ED visit for acute low back pain.

5. What is the clinical effectiveness of epidural steroid injections for the treatment of chronic low back pain?

The North American Spine Society (NASS) 2020 guidelines have been adapted:

- There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of caudal epidural steroid injections in patients with low back pain. (Grade of Recommendation: I)
- There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of interlaminar epidural steroid injections in patients with low back pain. (Grade of Recommendation: I)
- 6. What is the clinical effectiveness of acupuncture in the treatment of acute and chronic low back pain?
- 7. What is the clinical effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) in treating non-specific chronic low back pain in adults?

AHRQ 2020 guidelines have been adopted for both questions. Acupuncture and MBSR are recommended.

8. What are the specific aspects of early physical therapy in patients with acute non-specific low back pain that are effective?

American Physical Therapy Association 2012 guidelines have been adapted:

- Manual therapy, trunk coordination, strengthening, and endurance exercises, centralization and directional preference exercises and procedures should be considered (strong evidence).
- Progressive endurance exercise and fitness activities should be considered (strong evidence).
- Patient education and counseling strategies that either directly or indirectly increase the
 perceived threat or fear associated with low back pain should not be used (moderate
 evidence).
- Flexion exercises, combined with other interventions such as manual therapy, strengthening
 exercises, nerve mobilization procedures, and progressive walking can be considered (weak
 evidence).
- Lower-quarter nerve mobilization procedures should be considered (weak evidence).
- Traction (conflicting evidence).

9. Is there evidence that losing weight improves long-term outcomes for back pain management?

One low-quality RCT (Williams 2018) that assigned overweight and obese patients to lifestyle intervention or usual care was identified. Patients were followed for 6 months. The authors found that healthy lifestyle intervention (telephone advice, education, and referral to a 6-month telephone-based healthy lifestyle coaching service targeting weight loss, physical activity, and diet) did not reduce pain intensity, self-reported weight, or any other secondary outcomes. Intervention did not reduce weight. More high-quality studies are warranted. There is insufficient direct evidence to determine the effectiveness of weight loss in improving long-term outcomes for back pain management.

10. What is the impact of cultural literacy on low back pain outcomes?

American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) recommendations have been adopted. "AAFP supports the broad adoption of cultural sensitivity standards by government, payers, health care organizations, practices and individuals. When cultural sensitivity is an expected standard in health care delivery, 'optimal health for everyone' means everyone."

References

Cottrell MA, O'Leary SP, Swete-Kelly P, et al. Agreement between telehealth and in-person assessment of patients with chronic musculoskeletal conditions presenting to an advanced-practice physiotherapy screening clinic. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2018;38:99-105. doi:10.1016/j.msksp.2018.09.014

Dario AB, Moreti Cabral A, Almeida L, et al. Effectiveness of telehealth-based interventions in the management of non-specific low back pain: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Spine J.* 2017;17(9):1342-1351. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2017.04.008

Enke O, New HA, New CH, et al. Anticonvulsants in the treatment of low back pain and lumbar radicular pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. 2018;190(26):E786-E793. doi:10.1503/cmaj.171333

Friedman BW, Irizarry E, Solorzano C, et al. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Ibuprofen Plus Metaxalone, Tizanidine, or Baclofen for Acute Low Back Pain. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2019;74(4):512-520. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.02.017

Friedman BW, Cisewski D, Irizarry E, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Naproxen With or Without Orphenadrine or Methocarbamol for Acute Low Back Pain. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2018;71(3):348-356.e5. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2017.09.031

Heapy AA, Higgins DM, Goulet JL, et al. Interactive Voice Response-Based Self-management for Chronic Back Pain: The COPES Noninferiority Randomized Trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2017;177(6):765-773. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.0223

Palacín-Marín F, Esteban-Moreno B, Olea N, Herrera-Viedma E, Arroyo-Morales M. Agreement between telerehabilitation and face-to-face clinical outcome assessments for low back pain in primary care. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38(11):947-952. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e318281a36c

Peterson S, Kuntz C, Roush J. Use of a modified treatment-based classification system for subgrouping patients with low back pain: Agreement between telerehabilitation and face-to-face assessments. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2019;35(11):1078-1086.

Truter P, Russell T, Fary R. The validity of physical therapy assessment of low back pain via telerehabilitation in a clinical setting. *Telemed J E Health*. 2014;20(2):161-167. doi:10.1089/tmj.2013.0088

Williams A, Wiggers J, O'Brien KM, et al. Effectiveness of a healthy lifestyle intervention for chronic low back pain: a randomised controlled trial. *Pain*. 2018;159(6):1137-1146. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000001198

Guideline Development Process and Team

Development process

To develop the Non-specific Back Pain Guideline, the guideline team adapted recommendations from externally developed evidence-based guidelines and/or recommendations of organizations that establish community standards. The guideline team reviewed additional evidence in several areas of non-pharmacologic treatment. For details, see Evidence Summary and References.

This edition of the guideline was approved for publication by the Guideline Oversight Group in March 2021.

Team

The Non-specific Back Pain Guideline development team included representatives from the following specialties: anesthesiology, mental health, clinical improvement and prevention, family medicine, neurosurgery, pain team, pharmacy, physical medicine and rehabilitation, physical therapy, and residency, and spine clinic.

Clinician lead: <u>Angie Sparks, MD</u>, Medical Director, Clinical Knowledge Development & Support Guideline coordinator: Avra Cohen, MN, RN, Clinical Improvement & Prevention

Saïd Adjao, MD, MPH, Clinical Epidemiologist, Clinical Improvement & Prevention

Arne Andersen, MD, Neurosurgery

Jamie Andrews, MHA, Director Clinical Value Improvement, Clinical Improvement & Prevention

Adam Babitts, Physical Therapy

Ben Balderson, PhD, Mental Health and Wellness, Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute

Neem Bhatt, MD, Family Medicine

Randi Beck, MD, Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

Brad Collins, Physical Therapy

Trina Davis, MD, Family Medicine

Justin Fu, MD, Resident

Vishal Gala, MD, Neurosurgery

Megan Kavanagh, Patient Engagement Team, Clinical Improvement & Prevention

Ed Lojeski, MD, Pain Team

Dave McCulloch, MD, Medical Director, Clinical Improvement

Sarah Pierani. RN. Spine Clinic

Mena Rauf, PharmD, BCPS, Pharmacy

Christina Stafie, MD, Anesthesiology

Ann Stedronsky, Clinical Publications, Clinical Improvement & Prevention

Lindsay Urso, MD, Family Medicine